

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,909	10/21/2003	Timothy Gibney	GIBN-0001-UTY	3980
7590 01/17/2006			EXAMINER	
Parker & DeStefano			NGO, LIEN M	
300 Preston Avenue Suite 300				
Charlottesville, VA 22902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			DATE MAIL ED. 01/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ϵ					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/689,909	GIBNEY, TIMOTHY					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	LIEN TM NGO	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON c, cause the application to become AB	CATION. sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 O	october 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-19,22,25-28 and 30-39</u> is/are pendi	ing in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,5,7-12 and 14-19 is		deration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,13,22,25,26,31 and 35-39</u> is/are	e rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>27,28,30,32 and 33</u> is/are objected to							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached	Oπice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document		nalication No.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority							
application from the International Burea		received in this Hational Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	received.					
	,						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	[]	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 10/689,909 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6, 13, 22, 25, 26, 31 and 35-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Comfort (2,533,355) in view of McConnell (3,561,637).

Comfort discloses, in figs. 1-3, a paint container lid comprising a base 1 having a hatch 2 with at least one slot for holding at least one tool; a lip 10; and a hatch cover 6.

Comfort does not disclose the lid lip having an indentation and flexible strap with a fastening mechanism for holding the lip against the container.

McConnell teaches a lid lip 22 having an indentation 34 and flexible strap 35 with a fastening mechanism 36 for holding the lip against the container.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Comfort lid with the lip having an indentation and flexible strap with a fastening mechanism, as taught by McConnell, in order to enhance the tightly maintaining the lid with the container.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,909 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 27, 28, 30, 32 and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Claim 34 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6, 13, 22, 25, 26, 31 and 35-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,909 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL MAR can be reached on 571-272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LIEN TM NGO Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

mlm

January 11, 2006